

## PROTECTION OF INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS

1. The Director of Central Intelligence is charged by law with the protection of intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosures.
2. There have been a number of episodes wherein unauthorized disclosure of intelligence sources and methods have revealed to other countries our techniques and have resulted in increased security safeguards which have either dried up or made much more difficult our intelligence collection. Unfortunately one of these episodes is of recent vintage.
3. On 23 August 1962 I transmitted to you, the Secretary of State and the Attorney General a proposal for better securing intelligence sources and methods. This proposal included a recommendation that all personnel with access to sensitive intelligence be required to report all contacts with the press and record all items discussed, a system which has been in effect many years in CIA, which goes even farther and requires clearance in advance for contacts with the press. The system was put into effect briefly by DOD and State during the Cuban crisis with good results.
4. I propose that we revive our study of this problem and advise the Executive Committee of the National Security Council of what we plan to do. I recognize that DOD and State have political problems which must be considered, but I see no reason why officers with access to sensitive intelligence should be permitted to discuss this information with the press any more than we would permit smoking among explosives.

FILE: [REDACTED]

2X1A These are carbons of papers typed up from Mr. [REDACTED]  
handwritten notes. Mr. [REDACTED] has the originals of all of  
these.

This all ties in with the letter to McNamara which has not been signed  
and which very likely will NEVER be signed. Keep the whole  
package together in case it is called for.

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	MR. BROSS		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
<b>Remarks:</b>  The attached "package" is from the McCone file of "unsigned letters." The ltr to SecDef was not sent, but was discussed w/SecDef in meeting.  The [REDACTED] comments are also attached - you had seen these in December 63.  Would you have any need to put these in your file? If so - you're welcome.  If not -- Then do you think we should file in ER or just destroy the whole package? Thanks for help!			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
[REDACTED] O/DCI			4/20/1966

UNCLASSIFIED when blank — TO ~~SECRET~~ when attached to Top Secret Document — Automatically downgraded to ~~SECRET~~ when filled in form is detached from controlled document.

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION			REGISTRY	
SOURCE			CIA CONTROL NO.	188250/6
DOC. NO.			DOC. DATE	31 Jan 63
COPY NO.			LOGGED BY	men/ER
NUMBER OF PAGES				
NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS				
ATTENTION: This form will be used to record the receipt of documents from the source.				

[illegible]

DOWNGRADED		DESTROYED		DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA)	
TO		BY (Signature)		TO	
BY (Signature)		WITNESSED BY (Signature)		BY (Signature)	
OFFICE	DATE	OFFICE	DATE	OFFICE	DATE

FORM 26 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

Approved For Release 2001/09/10 : CIA-RDP80B01086A000900220031-6